

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/780,490	GRAZIANO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Crystal J. Barnes	2121	

All Participants:

Status of Application: abandoned

(1) Crystal J. Barnes.

(3) _____.

(2) Saina S. Shamilov, Reg. No. 48,266.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 December 2004

Time: 3 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
N/A


Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Attorney Shamilov to check the status of the present application. Shamilov stated that the clients instructed the firm not to perform any work for them. Shamilov was not sure what the clients wanted to do with the present application since the firm did not represent them anymore..